8	Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.		Cu		t Risk			olera	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	lmpact L	Risk Rating lava
CRR1: Long term commercial investments and major projects capital investment. BCC'S long term commercial investments and major projects may require greater than anticipated capital investment. Key potential causes are: • The cost is higher than expected. • The project is delivered later than planned. • The operating and maintenance cost of the asset exceeds expectations. • The demand for the asset is less than budgeted driving down income. • Strategic, geographic, social, financial and economic conditions changing over time. • Oversight of Project Interdependencies not well managed.	We have reviewed Capital Governance arrangements and have established the Growth and Regeneration Board in order to improve capital programme governance and accountability arrangements. The Board is overseen by the Delivery Executive to make improvements to capital project business cases, taking account of whole life costing principles and improving capital monitoring arrangements. We are understanding, monitoring and reporting the cost-determining factors, and seeking relevant professional advice to ensure Value for Money (VfM) by undertaking due diligence which covers the economic, financial, social and environmental case. This is ongoing. Governance arrangements are in place for the council as a Company Shareholder. The Growth and Regeneration Directorate is responsible for delivery of major infrastructure projects. Some of the key projects include: Harbour Strategy • We are looking to secure capital funding to commence in 2018/19 through a robust capital business case to make commercial improvements across areas such as new pontoons, and boaters facilities both of which will generate income and make the area more attractive economically. • We have completed a commercial benchmarking exercise in terms of charges and commercial offering using similar marina sites across the UK. • We are constructing a plan around our commercial offering, fees & charges, leases etc. to ensure we are maximising income that can be used to invest in the area. • Carrying out condition surveys to assist with the development of a robust maintenance schedule. Arena • We commissioned consultants to carry out Value for Money (VfM) studies for the Arena at Temple Meads, alternative use of the site plus an alternative scheme at Filton. Temple Quarter • For contracts we ensure that robust contingencies are built into the project costs, and secure consultant's advice relating to appropriate risk allocation and reward, and other contractual arrangements. Colston Hall • Consultants were engaged last year to undertake an options	+	3	7		The Capital Strategy will be developed by February 2019. Improvements to capital programme governance and accountability arrangements through Housing, Property and Growth & Regeneration Board, with tracking and delivery are overseen by Delivery Executive. The Executive Director for Growth and Regeneration has instigated a series of ongoing 'Deep Dives' with a focus on key programmes and project deliverables feedback being reviewed by the Growth and Regeneration Board and further detailed review of the capital programme to be undertaken as part of the budget process. The Growth and Regeneration Board meets monthly to continue to improve project, programme and portfolio risk management to ensure robust arrangements are in place and challenge against deliverables. We will maintain a balanced portfolio of investment assets so that exposure to particular classes of risk can be minimised. We continue to strengthen client side arrangements for companies around the: Harbour Strategy • We are working with colleagues across the Growth & Regeneration and Communities Directorates to ensure we have a joined up approach to delivering a new Harbour Strategy. This falls in to three main work streams Assets, Design and Harbour/Marina activity. Whilst these 3 pieces of work are in differing project stages, an umbrella group to act as a steering and governance forum is being established by September 2018. • As part of a robust asset management planning framework we are carrying out condition surveys on the docks walls to produce a future maintenance schedule as part of the BCC Asset Management Plan by end spring 2019. Arena • Cabinet on the 4th September agreed to look to an alternative use for the Temple Quarter site. Discussions are on-going. Colston Hall • We have engaged a consultant under a Pre-Construction Services Agreement (PCSA). We have set up a 10 point plan to address any issues by September 2018 to enable us to meet budget constraints. To assist in the process we have engaged the help of the Southern Constr	1	7	7
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Executive Director Resources and Section 151 Officer.	Action Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Director Finance.	Portfo Financ and Pe	e, Gov	verna		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowering and Caring, Fair and Inc Connected, Wellbeing.	lusive	, Wel	I

				rren Lev	sk		1	olera isk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	
CRR2: IT Infrastructure. If IT Infrastructure does not meet service delivery requirements then there could be serious implications for the organisation and the people it serves. Key potential causes are: Lack of infrastructure investment in IT. Reliance on legacy systems. Limited integration with corporate systems. Lack of skilled staff. Retention of skilled staff. Confidence in resilience of IT infrastructure response. Limited business engagement to identify appropriate IT solutions. Limited identity and access management.	A Future State Assessment (FSA) review has been undertaken of the performance of the IT service and the technology in use. Failure to implement the recommendations will increase the risk of system and service failure across the council May/June 2018 and will significantly impact our ability to deliver savings. An Internal Audit of FSA review was carried out May/June 2018. The IT Strategy was developed May/June 2018 and the FSA transition plan completed May/June 2018. The financial envelope created and financial review April 2018. The IT Impact assessment is in place and will be communicated and trained during Q2/3. 2018. There is an on-going programme of review relating to IT Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity.2018 Ongoing. IT resilience improvements have been made to underlying data storage, data centre capability and network resilience during 2018/19. All internal IT security incidents are logged and reviewed from an IT and wider Information Governance perspective Q2/3 2018. The FSA Rollout 2018/19 is ongoing subject to cabinet approvals and funding July 2018. FSA Project Risk Register update and quarterly reporting is ongoing during lifetime of the project.	↔	3	7	21	BCC continues to delivery against the FSA Plan to 2023 which includes the future investment, improvements to legacy IT, solution based integration to future systems, business engagement and confidence in our resilience of operational for our IT Infrastructure. A phased implementation of a series of key projects identified as part of the FSA review and prioritised to deliver mitigation against the risks identified starting in Q2. 18/19 planned completion by end 2021. Key projects include: • The Cloud migration project. • A phased Cloud productivity solution. • A phased Cloud productivity solution. • A phased implementation for a Customer Relationship management solution. • A single customer view. • End User Platform. • IT Security. • IT Service support. • Identity and Access Management (IDAM). • Integrated Communications. • Intranet. • IT Service Management (ITSM). • Management information and Business Information MI/BI including Knowledge Management. Progress on the data centre migration to cloud is progressing on plan. Most core systems are back on site with the last planned move October 18. Discovery phase is completed and we are in the process of building a transition plan based on system priority and minimisation of impact to the business. Core systems still on target to be in the cloud and off premise by November2018. BCC will review the skills within the IT team as set out in the FSA Plan by Q3. 2018/19. The transition planning (projects delivery) initial resources recruitment is underway Q1. 2018/19. Skills assessment of the IT is underway, and a planned restructure will be implemented to support the needed skills and resourcing profile to achieve the FSA transition plan July - December 2018. Core IT processes need to be implemented/re-engineered to drive the service towards more proactive ways of working to support the wider organisations drive to achieve savings and service change July 2018 - July 2019. The decision pathway for release of funds is underway - target completion is Q2.	1	3	
Risk Owner: Director - Digital Transformation.	Action Owner: Director Digital Transformation.	Finar	folio Fl nce, Go Perfori	over	ce	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected, Wellbeing.			

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Risks to the	achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
				rent Leve			1 -	olera isk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR3: Failure to Manage Asbestos.									
Failure to manage the asbestos management plan for properties.	We have an ongoing plan for properties to be surveyed prior to any work being undertaken by Asbestos Consultants plus an ongoing programme of surveys is being carried out.					A corporate review of Asbestos arrangements is being carried out by end Q2 2018/19.			
Key potential causes are:	Funding for Contractor training was agreed in February 2018.					•			
Staff availability to carry out work plans in a safe way.	There is a process for reporting Asbestos exposure incidents to the HSE via F2508 form. Asbestos incidents are reported via the Corporate health and safety accident/incident process. Asbestos incidents are investigated in-house and appropriate actions are taken. Significant asbestos issues are reported to					All managers will be informed of reviewed Asbestos arrangements by use of CHaSMS by end August 2018.			
Lack of appropriate training.	the appropriate Health & Safety Committees, senior management and executive.	→	3	7	21	Housing and Property Service are reviewing	1	7	7
Lack of oversight and control by local management. Lack of information on the potential or known	Corporate Asbestos arrangements have been reviewed and published on the Source, 12th June 2018.			,	21	and updating the service area of Asbestos arrangement procedures in December 2018.	1	′	,
risks.	Targeted work on asbestos has commenced.					Contractor training to inform of BCC's			
Inadequate contract management arrangements. Lack of effective processes and systems consistently being applied.						expectations of standards, whilst on our sites arrangements is being carried out by end Q2 2018/19.			
Policies are not kept up to date.						,			
Budget pressures.									
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Interim Director Workforce Change.	Portf	olio Fl	ag:		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			
			ice, Go						
		and	Perfori	mance	e				

Corporate Risk Register as at August 20	018 - Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.		· ·	urrons	t Risk			Γolera	nco
				Leve			- 1	Risk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR4: Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing.									
If the City Council does not meet its wide range of Health & Safety requirements then there could be a risk to the safety of citizens. Key potential causes are: If services do not have sufficient staff numbers to carry out work plans in a safe way. If services are not able to order appropriate equipment required for staff safety. Lack of appropriate training. Lack of oversight and control by local management. Lack of information on the potential or known risks. Inadequate contract management arrangements. Lack of effective processes and systems consistently being applied. Policies are not kept up to date. Budget pressures.	The Corporate Health & Wellbeing (HS&W) team is in place to support the council and provide advice and guidance. The Corporate Policy Statement, service specific policies, procedures and systems of work and safety arrangements are in place and routinely reviewed. BCC has a Corporate Health and Safety Management System (CHaSMS) to identify and monitor hazards, risks and appropriate actions. Each manager (with staff and /or premises responsibilities) has an action plan which is completed by all Managers on a quarterly basis. Once completed the (HS&W) team check the returns, and give relevant feedback to the individual Managers and report the overall results to Senior Management. The accident/incident reporting procedure is in place to monitor injury to colleagues and communicated. All incidents are subject to the investigation procedure to reduce potential for recurrences. Corporate procedures and a risk assessment pro-forma exist for core safety functions including arrangements for fire risk assessment of all workplaces. A register is in place for potential asbestos exposures. We have reviewed and further invested in statutory health surveillance equipment and training and a programme of work in place within council housing post Grenfell. BCC has a programme of e-learning and personal face to face course delivery available to all staff and members. Ongoing specific training on H&S and excessive pressure/ personal resilience is also available. Stress management training and stress risk assessment training is available for managers and employees. An independent occupational health support (NHS Avon Partnership Occupational Health Service) is in place to provide advice, employee support, management medical opinion and advice to support managers dealing with employee ill-health and absence. A pre-employment health screening service is in place to ensure reasonable adjustments are identified to support employees and also an HGV driver medical support service. A confidential Employee Assistance Programme, Wellbei	↔	3	7	21	A revised electronic accident /incident database will be launched in April 2019 which will inform on the annual report and action plan. A revised approach to Health and Safety compliance will be reviewed and aligned to the Corporate H&S Management System (CHaSMs) by April 2019. A review of H&S serious cases will be completed in September 2018. A review of the Directorate H&S Coordinators Group (DHSWCG) and its reporting of H&S issues will be carried out by September 2018. The programme of work post Grenfell which will be further developed in line with any emerging themes/outcomes from the Public Enquiry.	1	7	7
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Interim Director Workforce Change.	Fina	tfolio I ance, G Perfo	overi		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Corporate Risk Register as at August 20	18 - Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cu	rrent Leve			1 -	olera isk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR5: Business Continuity and									
Councils Service Resilience. If the council has a Business									
Continuity disruption and is unable to ensure the resilience of key BCC operations and business activities then the impact of the event maybe increased with a greater impact on people and council Services. Key potential causes are: Strikes (People, Fuel). Loss of key staff (communicable diseases and influenza epidemics). Loss of suppliers. Loss of accommodation to deliver key services. Loss of equipment. Any event which may cause major disruption. Unavailability of IT and/or Telecoms.	The council has a Corporate Resilience Group (CRG) supported by directorate representatives who meet quarterly to overseeing the council's Business Continuity arrangements and to receive significant risks outside council Control which are reflected on the Local Resilience Forum Community Risk Register. A number of Policies and procedures are in place including the Business Continuity Policy (September2016) which was communicated to relevant staffs. The Incident Response Plan updated in July 2017. Service Business Continuity Plans were in place for January 2018 the plans are undergoing a refresh in 2018. An Incident Management Team training session is planned for September 2018. A Senior Management on-call rota has been devised agreed and is regularly monitored. A successful annual recovery exercise Day Two was carried out 25th May 2018 and relevant improvements are being built into the wider council arrangements and will be briefed to the CRG. (See CRR2 for IT Infrastructure risk page 2 and CRR7 for Cyber-attack and its implications page 7).	*	3	7	21	The Business Continuity Policy is planned to be reviewed in November 2018. The Corporate Business Continuity Plan will be reviewed in December 2018. A review of Service Level Business Continuity Plans will be carried out by January 2019. We are introducing a quality assurance approach for our business continuity plans to emphasise service accountability. The Businesses Continuity Working Group will be refreshed within the year and we are currently drafting a plan for future exercises to test different elements of BCC Business Continuity arrangements with partners. Business Continuity refresher training is planned for November/December 2018.	1	7	7
Knowledge loss.									
Reduced chances of preventing/ responding to incidents due to a lack of forward planning or investment.									
Risk Owner: Acting Executive Director Communities.	Action Owner: Civil Protection Manager.	Fina	folio Fl nce, Go Perfori	overn		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing	g.		

Corporate Risk Register as at August 20	118 - Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cu	rrent Leve				olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR6: Fraud and Corruption.									
Failure to prevent or detect acts of significant fraud or corruption against the council from either internal or external sources. Key potential causes are: Failure of management to implement a sound system of internal control and/or to demonstrate commitment to it at all times. Not keeping up to date with developments, in new areas of fraud. Insufficient risk assessment of new emerging fraud issues. Lack of clear management control of responsibility, authorities and / or delegation. Lack of resources to undertake the depth of work required to minimise the risks of fraud /avoidance. Under investment in fraud prevention and detection technology and resource.	We are continually improving the comprehensive system of control which aims to prevent fraud and increase the likelihood of detection. This includes a strong and robust policy on anti-fraud, corruption and bribery. We take a strong stance when fraud is found and seek financial recovery through a strong and effective counter fraud team. The team concentrates on areas of high fraud risk, investigates fraud promptly where suspected and sanctions appropriately. By, investing in specialist fraud prevention and detection software and utilising cross organisation data will minimise the council's exposure to fraud risk and aid early detection / prevention. An accessible route to report suspected fraud is available to both the public and employees via a Whistleblowing Policy Anti-Fraud, Bribery and Corruption Policy and web page. This ensures that the council's financial systems accurately record the financial transactions and robust control processes which are in place to maximise the opportunity to prevent and detect fraud or inaccuracies. Employees are aware of probity standards expected of them via an Employee Code of Conduct, improving awareness of fraud and compliance through a process of reminders about ethics and conduct, fraud awareness training and other publicity, continual maintenance of Counter Fraud information on Web pages and monitoring and review of the effectiveness of the Counter Fraud Arrangements. Key Counter Fraud Objectives were defined for 2018/19. Counter Fraud Performance is monitored by Audit Committee via the Annual Counter Fraud Update, periodic Internal Audit Updates and the Annual review of arrangements against CIPFA Count Fraud Assessment Tool. Improvements are highlighted an action plan which is monitored by Audit Committee.	↔	3	5	15	We are monitoring fraud indicators (warning signs and fraud alerts) to ensure anti- fraud approach is correctly targeted. Capacity and priorities within Internal Audit are to be re-considered. The scale of whistleblowing/fraud referrals received is impacting on the team's ability to deliver preventative work and proactive fraud work which returns savings and other benefits to the council. Legal and financial support arrangements for Counter Fraud work are to be reviewed to ensure recoveries are maximised. The availability, costs and benefits of fraud prevention technology will be reviewed for potential future investment decision. A corporate project to deliver fraud and avoidance savings by March 2019 by improved use of data will be established. We will review of bribery and corruption fraud controls.	2	5	10
Risk Owner: Executive Director	Action Owner: Director of Finance and Chief Internal Auditor.	l	folio Fl	-		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			
Resources and Director of Finance (Section 151 Officer).		1	nce, Go Perfor						

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Risks to the	achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.		С		nt Risl	(rance	-
BCC are implementing the IT Transformation Programme which includes action IT resilience, with systems and software compliance against various UK Standar Tresilience, with systems and software compliance against various UK Standar The Information Security Policy as revised in August 2016 and the BCC systems reconfigured in line with best practice security controls proportionate to the but being handled. Systems are risk assessed and reviewed to ensure compliance is maintained as defence. The Policy, assessment is subject to a formal annual review. External resources full result in critical services and business usual activities could be seriously disrupted, pacting on the services delivered, potential Data tection breach, the cost of recovery from Cyberent the impact on colleagues or citizens due to their resonal information being obtained along with ormation Commissioners (ICO) Santolius, all and reputational implications for the council. By potential Causes are: Successful deliberate or accidental leak of sensitive information into the public domain. Systems are risk assessment is subject to a formal annual review. External reconfigured in line with best practice security controls proportionate to the but being handled. Systems are risk assessment is subject to a formal annual review. External reconfigured in line with best practice security controls proportionate to the but being handled. Systems are risk assessment is subject to a formal annual review. External reconfigured in line with best practice security controls proportionate to the but being handled. Systems are risk assessment is subject to a formal annual review. External reconfigured in line with best practice security controls proportionate to the but being handled. Systems are risk assessment is subject to a formal annual review. External reconfigured in line with best practice security controls proportionate to the but being handled. Systems are risk assessment is subject to a formal annual review. External resource. The Policy, assessm	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood		mpact	What we are doing	Likelihood	Level	Risk Rating
CRR7: Cyber-attack threats and implications. There could be a risk if the IT service areas are unable to protect the organisation from a successful Cyberattack, which could impact on data integrity/loss of operational systems across the City Council. This may be due to a successful deliberate or accidental infection of council systems with malware or other intrusive or destructive virus. (e.g. 'Phishing' scam) leading to loss or unauthorised access to sensitive business data, deliberate or accidental leak of sensitive information into the public domain, system security arrangements impacted, intelligence availability on latest security arrangement/threats. The impact could result in critical services and business as usual activities could be seriously disrupted, impacting on the services delivered, potential Data Protection breach, the cost of recovery from Cyber event the impact on colleagues or citizens due to their personal information being obtained along with Information Commissioners (ICO) Sanctions, financial, legal and reputational implications for the council. Key potential causes are: Successful deliberate or accidental infection of council systems with malware or other intrusive or destructive virus (e.g. 'Phishing' scam). Successful deliberate or accidental leak of sensitive information into the public domain. System security arrangements not maintained or updated on a timely basis. Lack of information or training on latest security arrangement / threats.	Systems are risk assessed and reviewed to ensure compliance is maintained as a multi layered defence. The Policy, assessment is subject to a formal annual review. External reviews of the council's Security Compliance is carried out to maintain accreditation and confirm best practice and applied annually. An information security risk assessment was completed to identify risks, lessons learnt and an action plan developed. This assessment and plan informs on the Internal Audit plan for 2018/19. Electronic Communications Users Policy, Virus reporting procedure and Social Media Guidelines are in place. Staff/Members and Partner information security training is provided and ongoing. 'Enterprise phishing' training was carried out in 2017. BCC has in place external third party IT support for incident management. We monitor threats, network behaviours and data transfers to seek out possible breaches and take appropriate action. The Future State Assessment (FSA) Capital bid was successfully approved by cabinet to implement and	+	3		5 1	Annual penetration testing is now completed. This highlighted a number of risks and issues that are being reviewed, planned against and addressed. Progress to plans will be reviewed and reported on as required. Our annual PSN submission is currently underway again with appropriate assessment of risk across the whole estate. The risks continue to be identified from legacy systems operating on systems identified as a potential heightened risk. The steps to mitigate this risk are being worked through and include isolation of applications, patching, decommissioning and upgrades. These approaches are being worked through with the business and discussed externally with the PSA authority to agree appropriate mitigation and subsequent PSA sign off.	2		10
Risk Owner: Director - Digital Transformation.	Action Owner: Director Digital Transformation.	Fina	tfolio ance, (Perfo	Gove	ernanc	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Corporate Risk Register as at August 20	18 - Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.									
			Cu	rrent Leve		sk		1 .	olera isk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact		Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR8: Service Review. If the organisation is not able to redesign its leadership team quickly enough, it may result in a reduction in staffing levels. The possible loss of experienced and skilled staff will have an impact on service delivery, and on remaining staff. Key potential causes are: Staff leaving due to service redesign, reduction/ceasing of some service areas, automation of processes, pace of change, efficiency/savings requirements. Poor morale due to the impact of change and the working environment. Potential increased staff sickness levels.	A senior management restructure is almost complete which will bring permanency and stability for the leadership team. A new Leadership Framework sets out the leadership behaviours and qualities expected of our managers and aspiring managers. Applicants for senior leadership roles are tested against these qualities. An organisation-wide leadership development and engagement programme will support colleagues during the time of transition. The Leadership Framework will be rolled out to provide support to enable our staff to become confident and supportive leaders for our workforce. To promote a positive culture within the organisation, a set of organisation values and behaviours has been created in collaboration with staff focus groups; this will help set the tone of the organisation and assist, in conjunction with the Corporate Strategy priorities, in providing clarity of purpose. It will link directly through to a new performance framework that will provide clarity to staff about the expectations and how their work contributes to the success of the organisation. This will feature in the Workforce Plan which is currently being drafted. Learning and development is available to support staff to meet the expectations of the organisation. The Corporate Strategy and associated Business Plans will provide clarity on priorities and help our workforce focus its attention and resources on the areas that derive greatest results for our communities and residents. A new Leadership Framework is in place and used as the assessment framework to recruit new senior leaders against. A refreshed internal communication and engagement strategy was received by to CLB in August 2018.	+	3	5	1	15	The senior leadership restructure is complete with recruitment underway for remaining vacant roles. A full permanent team will be in place by January 2019 and appropriate arrangements are in place to cover vacant roles whilst we are recruiting. The organisation values and behaviours have been adopted as part of Corporate Strategy and are being rolled out to all employees via team workshops. New leadership Framework is used as the assessment framework to recruit new senior leaders against. A development programme for the new team is in the planning stages - to help the new team work effectively together. A leadership development programme is underway for team leaders and managers (4/5 tiers), with 148 currently on the programme and further intakes to follow. Leadership development planning is underway for third tier managers. Learning and development support available to all staff to help them develop their skills and confidence in their roles, with additional funding identified for 2018/2019. The provision of L&D support around diversity and inclusion is currently being reviewed. A performance appraisal cycle is underway for 2018/19 with individual objectives set against business plan objectives from the Corporate Strategy. A new approach to performance and talent management is being developed for launch in April 2019. Regular communication with staff and key stakeholders is made to keep them up to date with organisational priorities and progress on recruitment of the senior leadership team. The Workforce Plan will detail the actions we will take to meet the future needs of the organisation and equip colleagues to contribute to the delivery of the corporate strategy priorities. It has a focus on six cross-cutting themes - organisational culture; structure, pay and policy; diversity and inclusion; health and wellbeing; performance and talent management; employer brand and recruitment.	2	5	10
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Director of Workforce Change.	Fina	folio Fl nce, Go Perfor	overr		ice	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.			

	018 - Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.		Cu	rrent Leve				olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR9: Safeguarding Vulnerable Children. The council fails to ensure that adequate safeguarding measures are in place, resulting in harm or death to a vulnerable child. Key potential causes are: Safeguarding arrangements do not meet the requirements of the Children Act and associated legislation, guidance and regulations. Inadequate controls result in harm. Poor Management and operational practices. Demand for services exceeds its capacity and capability. Inability to recruit/retain social care staff in competitive market. Poor information sharing. Strategic commissioning arrangements do not meet identified need and our ability to commission safe care for children is impaired.	We provide regular analysis of performance and reports to Cabinet Members and Directors regarding safeguarding performance and progress. The Safeguarding Children's Board provides independent scrutiny of children's safeguarding arrangements in the city and holds BCC and partner agencies to account. There has been a review of arrangements to meet the Prevent Duty and the Safer Bristol Board has adopted an Improvement Plan to deliver better outcomes in service provision quality and safety. BCC works with partners to effectively identify victims and perpetrators of CSE and take action to disrupt and protect. Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements are in place (MAPPA) with BCC contributors at every level to support family safeguarding. The Safeguarding and Quality Assurance Service has been remodelled to secure additional capacity (Independent reviewing officer and Child Protection Chairs) and has the Local Authority Designated Officer for allegations against people who work with children. Comprehensive training and development offer, together with publication of Bristol's policies and procedures and monthly professional supervision help ensure safe practice and adequate control of risks. This is monitored and tested through a performance framework and quality assurance framework. Based on analysis and self-evaluation a transformation and improvement plan are in place to address areas identified for improvement (these incorporate actions in response to learning from Ofsted Inspections, Peer Review, Serious Case Review, complaints and other feedback received). For children with complex needs and disabilities, management and practice arrangements have been strengthened. Bristol's Strengthening Families transformation programme is taking a whole system approach to meeting the needs of children and families at the earliest point. In this way we aim to manage demand and maintain capacity within the system. Universal services may be supported by early help and targeted services, including a team around the	•	2	7	14	Safeguarding Board and related arrangements are under review, with the aim of improving efficiency and effectiveness, and ensuring robust governance arrangements continue to hold multiagency partners (inc. BCC) to account. Ongoing action is being taken to extend information sharing arrangements and improve response to children at risk of criminal exploitation and going missing following CSE/Missing National Working Group recommendations. Under the delivering of Strengthening Families Programme we have an ongoing plan to: Reduce caseloads of social care practitioners. Ensure purposeful practice that supports Children to live safely within their families and provide local authority care for those who need it. Ensure effective management oversight is evident on all children's records. Measures to improve recruitment and retention of Social Workers will be presented through the Decision Pathway in September 2018. This should allow us to work proactively where poor practice is identified.	1	7	7
Risk Owner: Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education.	Action Owner: Director for Children's and Families Services.	1	folio F Iren ar ole.	_	ung	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empower Wellbeing.	ing a	nd Ca	ring,

Corporate Risk Register as at August 20	18 - Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cu	rrent Leve				olerai isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR10: Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults. The council fails to ensure adequate safeguarding measures are in place, resulting in harm or death to a vulnerable Adult. Key potential causes are: Adequacy of its controls. Management and operational practices. Demand for its services exceeded its capacity and capability. Poor information sharing. Lack of capacity or resources to deliver safe practice. Failure to commission safe care for vulnerable adults and the elderly. Failure to meet the requirements of the "Prevent Duty "placed on Local Authorities.	The Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults Board is an independent scrutiny board led by BCC working in partnership with key agencies. There has been a multi-agency led review of existing arrangements led by BCC in light of the new Prevent Duty and the Board has adopted an Improvement plan to deliver better outcomes in service provision quality and safety. The Board is now on a statutory footing following implementation of the Care Act 2014. The Multi Agency Public Protection arrangements are in place (MAPPA) and BCC and the multi-agency Board work in conjunction with the Learning Disability and Mental Health services. The Bristol Safeguarding Adults Board Learning and Development Competence Framework has been reviewed and will be reviewed on an annually basis to ensure continued best practice. Safeguarding improvement plans are in place for Older People, Physical Disability and Disabled Children and the Capability framework for safeguarding and the mental capacity act have been introduced. The Adult Change Programme 'Better Lives' - Transforming Care Programme has been established to implement policy objectives of moving people into more suitable care settings. We have an active strategy in place to attract, recruit and retain social workers through a variety of routes with particular emphasis on experienced social workers. The Adult South West Recruitment and Retention Strategy has been drafted, the risks and costs identified. The strategy will be presented through the Decision Pathway. Regular strategies and campaigns support the recruitment and retention of high calibre social workers and managers, with competent agency social workers and managers used on temporary basis to fill vacancies. All key staff working with people directly at risk are trained in the essentials of safeguarding and BCC has an ongoing awareness-raising 'Prevent' training programme. Regular reporting on safeguarding is taking place quarterly for Directors and Cabinet Members, with an annual report for elected Members to allow for scrutiny	*	2	7	14	The Adults Major Change programmes (Better Lives) launched in Autumn 2017 and led by Bristol City Council involving all partners with a safeguarding responsibility will be reviewed in November 2018. Through the Better Lives Programme we are reducing caseloads, ensure purposeful practice that supports Adults and elderly people to have safety within their families and provide local authority care for those who need it and ensuring effective management oversight. We are increasing capacity this year in the commissioning team to lead on monitoring quality in the care sector.	1	7	7
Risk Owner: Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education.	Action Owner: Interim Director Adult Social Care.		tfolio F ial Care		dult	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empowe Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well connected, V	_		and

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Risks to the achi	evement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.				D: /				
			Cu	rrent Leve	: Risk el			folera Risk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Ip to the unlock the gements with investment. of the SPG indopt a an asset and and funding ing group. ecialist at ensure the ing the ecomplete by et to recruit or develop the ect pace into mescale for local l's inpacity and	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR11: Bristol City Council (BCC) Infrastructure Delivery. If the council fails to prioritise infrastructure investment and resources, has inconsistent policies and attitudes, and has no bargaining power regionally or with central government; there is a risk that inward investment will be reduced, making it difficult for the council to realise its strategic priorities, ensure assets are efficient and fit for purpose in meeting current and future demand and support development of the local area. Key potential causes are: No clear strategic direction and objective set for the Property estate. Services and resources (human and financial) are not fully aligned and/ or controlled to deliver the objectives. Failure to deliver the level of anticipated Capital Receipts. Leadership capacity, engagement and capability are insufficient to drive change and transformation within the council. Resources are poorly managed, short term approach being adopted or are not contributing fully to council priorities; resulting in agreed outcomes and objectives not being fully achieved. Ineffective collection, integrity and use of data and information. Infrastructure Condition and suitability of overall asset base is not being used or managed efficiently or effectively. Lack of joined up planning, decision making and effective project management. Ineffective collection, integrity and use of data and information. Reduced public sector funding impacting on the resources available. Currently a more uncertain future due to Brexit.	Governance arrangements have been established through the Growth and Regeneration Board (G&RB) and the Strategic Property Group (SPG) both launched in Q4. 2017/18 to enable the integration of thinking about property with financial, regeneration and other considerations and enhance reporting of asset disposal plans and progress. The G&RB have identified a number of areas of growth and regeneration (AGR) across the City during Q4. 2017/18 to enable place shaping including contributing to regeneration activity, affordable housing, community building and the financial sustainability of the council. Prioritisation of AGR is underway by the G&R Board. The Strategic Property Review Group (SPG) was established in January 2018 and meets on a monthly basis. The SPRG identified the need for an Operational Property Group in March 2018. The Operational Property Group (OPG) as a sub-group to the Strategic Property Group (SPG) was launched in July to unlock the value of assets, seek efficiencies through joint arrangements with public sector partners and maximise private sector investment. Actions are now being progressed through the work of the SPG and through OPG which will have a Corporate Landlord role to ensure the ownership of an asset and the responsibility for its management; maintenance and funding are transferred to a centralised corporate crosscutting group. Recruitment of Asset Management Plan specialist has commenced.	Part	folio F	7	14	The Operational Property Group (OPG) as a sub-group to the Strategic Property Group (SPG) is to be launched to unlock the value of assets, seek efficiencies through joint arrangements with public sector partners and maximise private sector investment. Actions are now being progressed through the work of the SPG and (from April/May 2018) through OPG which will adopt a Corporate Landlord role to ensure the ownership of an asset and the responsibility for its management; maintenance and funding are transferred to a centralised corporate crosscutting group. Recruitment of specialist Asset Management Plan specialist resource commenced / on-going. The Develop strategies and Implementation plans that ensure the property portfolio remains a major asset in supporting the achievement of corporate aims and objectives will be complete by end March 2019. Development and implementation of a Property Asset Management Strategy - DWG decision (04/04/2018) to recruit specialist Asset Management Plan (AMP) resource to develop the outline business case by July/August 2018 and to inject pace into the production of the AMP by end March 2019. We are proactively supporting the development of a local development strategy to appropriately reflect Bristol's Infrastructure needs by March 2019. Recruitment of sufficient resources, to ensure the capacity and skills required are available to enable the objectives from the estate to be delivered will be ongoing.	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Director Finance (Section 151 Officer), Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Action Owner: Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Fina	folio F nce, G Perfor	overr		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation			

Corporate Risk Register as at August 20	18 - Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cui	rrent Leve					ance Level
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR12: Failure to deliver suitable planning measures, respond to and manage events when they occur. Key potential causes are: Critical services unprepared or have ineffective emergency and business continuity plans and associated activities. Lack of resilience in the supply chain hampers effective response to incidents. Lack of trained and available strategic staff. (Previously Civil Contingencies and Council Resilience).	The Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum (LRF) is a legally required multi-agency partnership of all the organisations needed to prepare for an emergency in the LRF area. It includes the emergency services, health services, Maritime and Coastal Agency, Environment Agency, volunteer agencies, utility companies, transport providers and the five councils of Bath and North East Somerset, Bristol, North Somerset, Somerset and South Gloucestershire. The Avon and Somerset LRF to drive work identified by risk and impact based on Avon and Somerset Community Risk Register. Key roles of the group includes: Intelligence gathering and forecasting, regular training exercises and tests, Task and Finish groups addressing key issues, procedure, plan writing and capability building and a multi-Agency recovery structure is in place. Bristol is working with Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum (LRF) together with personnel as an integrated and co-located team to deliver enhanced emergency planning and business continuity along with Avon and Somerset Local Health Resilience Partnership is to ensure a coordinated health services and Public Health England and planning, response is in place. A system is in place for ongoing monitoring of severe weather events (SWIMS). Emergency planning training has been rolled and a multi-agency exercise is regularly conducted to test different elements of BCC emergency arrangements with partners. The most recent exercises being Day Two May 2018, Dark Zodiac April 2018 and Saxon Resolve November 2017. A senior management on-call rota has been devised, agreed and is monitored. Emergency Reservists have been established and are being continually reviewed and refined for when the national threat level increases to critical. This includes an update of the Corporate Business Continuity Plan. We tested the Bristol Operations Centre capacity to support multi-agency operations in July 2018. BCC took receipt the South West's share of the National Emergency Mortuary Equipment in July 2018.	↔	2	7	14	We need to continue to strengthen our joint, multi-agency working arrangements with responder organisations in the City. An 'Introduction to Emergency Planning' e-learning package will be available for all staff by December 2018. A progress paper on Civil Contingency is scheduled to go to Strategy and Policy Board September 2018. Emergency Planning College (EPC)-led Strategic Incident Management Training sessions planned for October 2018. Review of Excess Deaths capability and plan is planned for September 2018, (multiagency workshop held in July 18). Recruitment and training of additional Emergency Centre Managers and Emergency Volunteers is ongoing. Emergency Centre live exercise is planned for November 2018. Training for ABS staff to support incident response and recovery (admin, logging and logistics) is ongoing. We are embedding lessons from Exercise Day Two, particularly around housing capacity, community engagement and mutual aid. A report is planned for the Corporate Resilience Group (CRG). Voluntary agency capacity to support incidents will be reviewed by the LRF in October 2018. A review and exercise of the COMAH (Control of Major Accident Hazards) Plan is planned for November 2018.	1	7	
Risk Owner: Acting Executive Director Communities.	Action Owner: Civil Protection Manager.	Finar	folio Fl nce, Go Perforr	overn		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.	1	1	

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Risks to the ac	chievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
				rent Leve				olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR13: Financial Framework and MTFP. Failure to reasonably estimate and agree the financial 'envelope' available, both annually and in the mediumterm and the council is unable to set a balanced budget. Key potential causes are: Inadequate budgeting & budgetary control/Financial Settlements & wider fiscal policy changes: The potential for new funding formulas such as fair funding, business rates retention, new national funding formula for schools and high needs to significantly reduce the government funding available to the council alongside possible increase in demand for council services. Political failure to facilitate the setting of a lawful budget. Unable to agree a deliverable programme of propositions that enable the required savings to be achieved. Insufficient reserves to mitigate risks and liabilities and provide resilience. Rising inflation could lead to increased costs. Economic uncertainty impact on locally generated revenues - business rates and housing growth, impacting on council tax, new homes bonus and business rate income.	BCC manages its financial risks through a range of controls including budget preparation, budget setting and a Budget Accountability Framework. Roles and responsibilities for managing, monitoring and forecasting income and expenditure against approved budgets have been updated. The council has developed a strong rolling Medium-term financial planning process to enable the strategic objectives and statutory duties to be met. We are working to ensure a rigorous structure exists to oversee the budgetary control process from budget setting through to monitoring, oversight and scrutiny including: • The level of reserves and balances are regularly reviewed to ensure that account is taken of any financial risk. • Financial Regulations and Financial Scheme of Delegation is in place. • Regular in-year monitoring and reporting, review of future financial plans and assessment of financial risks and reserves are undertaken to ensure the financial plans are delivered. • 2018/19 Budget and Capital Programme agreed by Full Council in February 2018 with agreed savings programme and outline capital programme to 2023. • Medium Term Financial Strategy agreed by Cabinet in July 2017 and refreshed annually detailing savings, growth, and risks. • The Mid-Year Treasury Report for 2017/18 presented to Audit Committee and Full Council in accordance with the Council's Treasury Management Process. • Challenge meetings to challenge 2018/23 budget process are ongoing. • The ongoing production of a programme of propositions that exceed the forecasted budget gap to provide members with options and headroom for variations in financial estimates. • Changes to propositions are maintained in the DWG Tracker. • The ongoing agreement of initial budget, proposals and key assumptions by Corporate Leadership Board and Executive and submission to scrutiny. • The ongoing assessment of the adequacy of general reserves and any specific reserve which takes into account an assessment of the risks related to the budget estimates and financial/ec	+	2	5	10	We are improving the financial model that reflects in a timely manner changes in national and local assumptions by Q3. 2018/19. We are ensuring the investment required to deliver the propositions is identified and fully costed Q2. 2018/19. We are ensuring resources are available to provide delivery capacity/skills and the Investment needed to deliver Medium Term Financial Plan. Ongoing. The up skilling plan to be delivered for staff to grow their commercial and business acumen by Q4. 2018/19. Ensuring that Bristol City Council are engaged with or receiving timely feedback from the range of Government working groups exploring future local funding. Ongoing.	1	5	5
Judicial review of the budget process.	and local assumptions.								
Risk Owner: Director of Finance (S151 Officer).	Action Owner: Executive Director Resources, Director of Finance (S151 Officer)	Fina	folio Fl nce, Go Perfori	vern		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Risks to the ac	chievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cu	Lev	t Risk el			olera lisk L	I
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR15: Financial Deficit. The council's financial position goes into significant deficit in the current year resulting in general reserves (actual or projected) being less than 75% of the level specified in the reserves policy. Key potential causes are: A failure to appropriately plan and deliver savings unscheduled loss of material income streams. Increase in demography, demand and costs for key council services. The inability to generate the minimum anticipated level of capital receipts. Insufficient reserves to facilitate short term mitigations, risks and liabilities. Interest rate volatility impacting on the council's debt costs. Judicial review of the budget process.	BCC's Financial framework ensures that we have in place sound arrangements for financial planning, management, monitoring and reporting. New spend decisions and borrowing is only supported where the source of revenue resources to meet the costs is clearly identified and availability confirmed by Finance. Getting our accounts produced on time and without audit qualification is important to ensure that we can properly account for the resources we have used during the year. Corporate Revenue Monitoring Reports with identified risks are reported to Cabinet, overspending departments prepare action plans with responsible Directors identified. The ongoing review and due diligence of all budget savings by Delivery working Group and Delivery Executive, Corporate Leadership Board and the Executive. The pipeline of propositions to be incorporated into the DWG tracker, due diligence undertaken and subject to DWG/DE governance and assurance process. The ongoing regular monitoring reports to Corporate Leadership Team and Cabinet management reports setting out progress on delivery of savings and other risks and opportunities in addition to the forecast expenditure. We have continual oversight and ongoing management of the council's financial risks. Internal audit also undertakes a number of reviews of our financial planning and monitoring arrangements.	↔	2	5		A review of robustness of forecasting in light of YTD run rates and other associated evidence. Budget Improvement – The executive will review service recovery/delivery plans, options for mitigation and their viability, risk and priority outcome implications - both immediate and the wider MTFP impact. Where viable in year recovery/delivery plans cannot be achieved, Executive Directors will report to the Mayor and Cabinet seeking a supplementary funding approval in accordance with the council's delegated executive approval powers (up to £1,000,000 for an area of activity). Where viable in year recovery/delivery plans cannot be achieved, Executive Directors will report to Full Council (in accordance with the Budget & Policy framework) to seek agreement to a supplementary estimate (> £1,000,000 for an area of activity). We will seek agreement from the Executive of the alternative measures held in abeyance across other General Fund services e.g. which will be offset and advised to all associated Directors appropriately. We will carry out a re-assessment of service delivery risks and opportunities and risk and other reserves.	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Director of Finance (S151 Officer).	Action Owner: Executive Director Resources, Director of Finance (S151 Officer).	Fina	folio F nce, G Perfor	iover	nance ce.	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.	1		

Corporate Risk Register as at August 20	018 - Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.		Cui	rrent	Risk		Т	olera	nce
				Leve				isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR16: Leadership. If there is a lack of leadership or management skills then this could impact on performance and the ability to deliver positive change. Key potential causes are: Loss of experienced managers. New skills sets required to meet new challenges. Poor communication regarding change and new initiatives. Need to make savings / increase income.	A senior management restructure is almost complete which will bring permanency and stability for the leadership team. A new Leadership Framework sets out the leadership behaviours and qualities expected of our managers and aspiring managers. Applicants for senior leadership roles are tested against these qualities. An organisation-wide leadership development and engagement programme will support colleagues during the time of transition. The Leadership Framework will be rolled out to provide support to enable our staff to become confident and supportive leaders for our workforce. To promote a positive culture within the organisation, a set of organisation values and behaviours has been created in collaboration with staff focus groups; this will help set the tone of the organisation and assist, in conjunction with the Corporate Strategy priorities, in providing clarity of purpose. It will link directly through to a new performance framework that will provide clarity to staff about the expectations and how their work contributes to the success of the organisation. This will feature in the Workforce Plan which is currently being drafted. The Corporate Strategy and associated Business Plans will provide clarity on priorities and help our workforce focus its attention and resources on the areas that derive greatest results for our communities and residents. We have regular communication with staff and key stakeholders to keep them up to date with organisational priorities and progress on recruitment of the senior leadership team. A refreshed internal communication and engagement strategy was received by CLB in August 2018.	+	2	5	10	The Senior leadership restructure is complete with recruitment underway for remaining vacant roles. A full permanent team will be in place by January 2019 and appropriate arrangements are in place to cover vacant roles whilst we are recruiting. A new leadership Framework is in place and used as the assessment framework to recruit new senior leaders against. A development programme for the new team is in the planning stages - to help the new team work effectively together. A leadership development programme is underway for CLB. A leadership development programme for 2nd tiers (extended leadership board) is currently in the planning stages and due for launch in Autumn. All development is based upon the new leadership framework and organisational values. Organisation values and behaviours adopted as part of Corporate Strategy and are being rolled out to all employees via team workshops, embedding in My Performance appraisal forms and part of the core narrative of the internal communication strategy by Jan 2019. A performance appraisal cycle is underway for 2018/19 with individual objectives set against business plan objectives from the Corporate Strategy. A new approach to performance and talent management is being developed for launch in April 2019; this will include performance management for senior leaders. Learning &Development support is available to all staff to help them develop their skills and confidence in their roles, with additional funding identified for 2018/2019. The provision of L&D support around diversity and inclusion is currently being reviewed. L&D support around diversity and inclusion is currently being reviewed. The Workforce Plan will detail the actions we will take to meet the future needs of the organisation and equip colleagues to contribute to the delivery of the corporate strategy priorities. It has a focus on six cross-cutting themes - organisational culture; structure, pay and policy; diversity and inclusion; health and wellbeing; performance and talent management; employer br	1	5	5
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service and CLB.	Action Owner: Head of Paid Service and CLB.	Finar	folio Fl nce, Go Perfori	overn		Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.		•	

	118 - Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.			rrent Leve				olera isk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR17: Bristol City Council Strategy Management.	Full Council received and approved the Corporate Strategy 2018 - 2023 in February 2018.					We are rolling out tactical communications and refreshing the Communications Strategy and core council narrative in September 2018.			
The council fails to produce or embed a Corporate Strategy with clear links through to business planning and	Cabinet received the BCC Business Plan 2018/19 to note in May 2018. This was based on key elements of Service Plans for every department.					The BCC policy and strategy framework will be reviewed in priority order with initial data collection complete. The nature of reviewing strategies across the council means it will be an ongoing process.			
performance management, resulting in less effective implementation of policy, use of resources and/or	We have completed and approved the Performance Framework via Statutory and Policy Board in June 2018. The refreshed Performance Framework follows through the golden thread from Corporate Strategy through to KPIs and management information.					My Performance reviews are being completed across the organisation and managers are briefed to link personal objectives to the outcomes set out in the Corporate Strategy and Business Plan.			
partnership working. Key potential causes are:	Communications plan for embedding Corporate Strategy and Business Plans, including full briefing of all Tier 1 - 3 managers and inclusion in My Performance	→	1	7	7	The Corporate Strategy is being explained to all new colleagues via the corporate induction process.	1	5	5
 Plans, policies, budget and/or 	reviews for all colleagues. An ongoing review of the Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) and wider policy /					Statutory and Policy Board will receive quarterly reporting from August 2018/19 on progress against the Performance Framework.			
resource not aligned to the Corporate Strategy. • Significant changes in senior management roles and personnel results in lack of knowledge or a feeling of ownership in relation to the Corporate Strategy.	strategy framework to check alignment with Corporate Strategy.					A one-off 'good housekeeping' review of corporate partnerships is planned for Q2/3 2018/19, creating a refreshed database and reviewing partnership Terms of Reference and/or Service Level Agreements against the Corporate Strategy and emerging partnership governance model as part of the developing One City Approach.			
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Acting Director: Policy and Strategy.	1	folio Fl nce, Go	•	ance	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			
		1	Perfori						

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Risks to t	he achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cu		Risk			olera	
		o o		Leve	ei	-	К	sk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR18: Failure to deliver 2000 Homes per annum	We have secured planning permissions.					We are continually addressing all areas of provision including:			
by 2020.						Community Led Housing (CLH), Registered Providers (RPs) and			
The risk of failing to deliver the range of housing to	We have secured additional grant funding for infrastructure.					Direct Delivery, (New Council Homes) etc.			
meet Bristol's needs and not realise the ambition to	We have been releasing land.					We are considering setting up new procurement framework for			
deliver 2000 homes per annum by 2020.	We have been issuing grants to Registered Providers (RPs).					contractors and consultants.			
Key potential causes are:						We are operating a significant land release programme to			
Silo Working – actions not aligning across teams.						Registered Providers.			
Weight given to local objections.									
Lack of capacity.		New	2	5	10	We are running series of ongoing issue busting exercises across the	1	5	5
Competing priorities and pressures.						Housing Delivery Programme.			
Joint Spatial Framework / Local Plan pressure.						We are carrying out a service review of the Housing Delivery Service			
Procurement barriers.						by March 2019.			
Resource availability, engagement and response						We are engaging with Homes England on their new strategic			
times from other internal BCC services.						partnerships to deliver increased capacity in the sub-region and			
Increased costs and labour issues arising post Brexit.						with their Accelerated Construction and Housing Infrastructure			
Lack of available data about completed homes.						Fund (HIF) programmes to de-risk sites.			
- Lack of available data about completed nomes.									
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and	Action Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration,	Portfolio	Flag: H	lousi	ng.	Strategy Theme: Fair and Inclusive.	1	1	
Regeneration.	Director City Growth, Investment and Infrastructure including Culture.								ļ

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Risks to t	the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cu	rrent Leve			1	olerar sk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR19: Tree Management.									
Risk of trees falling as a result of failure under	The areas with responsibility for trees include highways, parking, housing, parks, and property.					We are reviewing the tree management plan			
certain weather conditions and/or due to disease	We have been analysing the trees that are potentially at risk and appropriate resources are being assigned to tree management.					to assure that all trees are within the inspection regime in readiness for the			
Key potential causes are:	We have been analysing our tree claims data to identify further strategies to manage the risk.					adoption of the QTRA methodology.			
Severe weather conditions and/or disease.	A budget for tree management from parks and highways has been protected for 2018-19 while this is					Budgets will be consolidated to provide a central tree management programme.			
Lack of maintenance of trees. Lack of tree inspections.	reviewed to deal with highways and parks trees.	New	3	5	15		1	5	5
Reduction in budgets and fragmentation of	There is an agreement to consolidate budgets to manage the tree portfolio and to adopt the					Consideration on the current service provision related to tree management will			
management of trees across service areas	Quantified Tree Risk Assessment (QTRA) method for the inspection and recoding of data on the Confirm system.					include best value analysis.			
putting pressure on the ability to adequately manage the council's trees.	Commin system.								
manage the council strees.									
Risk Owner: Acting Executive Director Communities.	Action Owner: Acting Executive Director Communities, Interim Executive Director Growth and	Portfo	_			Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Wellbeing.			
	Regeneration.	Comm	unities	·.					

Corporate Risk Register as at August 20	018 - Risks to the achievement of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
			Cui	rrent Leve			1	olera isk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	ting
CRR20: Information Governance.									
General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) compliance. If the Council fails to maintain a defensible and compliant response to the Data Protection Act 2018 and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) then it will fail to fully comply with its statutory requirements. Key potential causes are: Failure to invest in the required systems, equipment and posts required to implement these regulations. Failure to adequately train staff in the requirements of the regulations. Lack of resource (capacity or expertise) to manage Subject Access Requests. (This risk replaces CRR14 Introduction of the General Data Protection Regulation).	We have made significant progress on compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). A significant investment of resources has already been made in a high profile project which has put the essential elements of GDPR in place for when GDPR came into effect. The council continues to invest in GDPR compliance through the next phase with a focus on embedding GDPR to ensure this can be maintained and developed. A project team was put in place November 2017 and a plan developed around the Information Commissioners Office (ICO) 12 step plan January 2018. A 'Senior Information Risk Owner' was appointed (SIRO) February 2018 and Project Manager in November 2017, funding for additional Project Resource was approved by Statutory and Policy Board, April 2018. An informed Health Check with a supporting action plan was put in place February 2018 refocussing the project on essential elements of compliance for 25 May 2018. An Assurance Group is in place to support the SIRO and will continue to meet to manage and monitor the plan. The Extended Director Management Team has been briefed and weekly progress updates provided. The Data Protection/ Data Retention Policies have been updated and Data Protection (DP) guidance has been revised. The Data Protection Officer is in post and the Statutory Data Protection Officer has been appointed Q4. 2017/18. We have reviewed and implemented the Privacy Impact Assessment process and updated data sharing agreements with external partners and written to 1,200 highest risk suppliers to advise changes to contract. A Data Protection Audit of Personal Data held has been carried out and the Record of Processing Activities (ROPA's) completed. Interim improvements have been made to the Subject access request process to centralise the receipt of requests. The anticipated increase in requests has not yet materialised and improvements have been made to the way Data breaches are reported. Support has been provided to schools including a brief, guidance and templates and access to a dat	New	2	5	10	Having achieved the essential elements for the introduction of GDPR, the challenge now is to consolidate this position to complete the remaining GDPR requirements and embed this so that we maintain our position and further improve information governance on an ongoing basis. The initial project structure was appropriate to deliver the urgent and large scale transition through to compliance. Moving forward it is critical to ensure the necessary leadership and resources are in place to provide focus on data protection that will live beyond the life of the project. Establishing a core Data Protection Officer will enable this. An interim statutory DPO will be appointed (August 2018) and tasked to design the structure and resources required and plan for the delivery in a prioritised and sequential basis (October 2018 and recruit into the permanent posts (December / January 2019). To ensure continued buy-in from across the organisation the GDPR group will continue to meet. The group has already developed a set of objectives for the next phase of work.	2	3	6
Risk Owner: Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO).	Action Owner: Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO) and GDPR Project Manager.	Portfol Govern Perforn	nance a	and	ince,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Risks to the achieveme	nt of Bristol City Councils Objectives.								
				rent Leve				olera isk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
CRR21: Partnerships Governance.									
If the council does not maximise (or cannot quantify) the benefits of partnership working and/or experiences negative or counterproductive results may arise from partnership working. Key potential causes are: Failure to establish and/or manage contracts, Service Level Agreements and/or Terms of Reference in relation to partnerships. Not maintaining a central register of partnerships, membership, governance arrangements and performance measures. No identified lead officer to progress development of partnership working as in proposals presented to the Audit Committee in April 2016. Outdated partnership policy and toolkit (last iteration 2010). A broad range of partnerships with variable degrees of formality.	BCC has close involvement of Elected Mayor and Members in key partnerships. Regular review and evaluation of the current position by CLB. Leads have been defined for recommendations to develop partnership working which were received by the Audit Committee in April 2016. BCC has mechanisms in place for regular dialogue including formal partnerships.	New	3	5	15	We are reviewing and refreshing the Partnership Policy and Toolkit. Creating a central Partnership Register including Service Level Agreements (SLAs), Terms of Reference (Terms of reference) and contracts where appropriate. Creating a template Terms of reference and porting existing Terms of reference to it. We are scoping and reviewing the need for Commercial Training for relevant managers as part of Procurement and Commercial Strategy.	2	3	6
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Head of Delivery Support Unit.	Portfol	_		nce,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			
		Govern Perforn		nd					

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Opportu	nity Risks								
			Cu	Leve	Risk el			olera isk L	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
OPP1: One City Approach The One City Approach will offer a new way to plan strategically with partners as part of a wider city system. Key potential causes: Mayoral aspiration and widespread partner signup to the principle. Work to date has produced outline plan and engaged partners in the long-term vision and necessary work to complete the plan.	We have scoped and entered 'Phase Three' of development of the One City Approach (OCA), including catalysing One City Plan development by providing core resource for a City Office structure.	New	3	5	15	Internal resource will be identified to help catalyse activity and develop the One City Plan product, with multiple offers of support from city partners. By January 2019 we will have: Developed a 'One City Plan' in partnership with a variety of city-wide and regional organisations, including scoping the formal governance of the City Office and One City Approach. Continued existing initiatives to trial and iterate the One City Approach. Instigated or enabled new projects with partners where there is a strategic fit and an opportunity to further develop a working OCA model.	4	5	20
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Interim Director of City Wellbeing, Resilience and Strategic Partnerships.	Portfol	lio Flag	g: Ma	yor.	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Opportu	nity Risks								
			Cu	Leve			1 .	olerai sk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
The approved Corporate Strategy presents an opportunity to fundamentally refresh and strengthen our business planning, leadership and performance frameworks. Key potential causes: Approved Corporate Strategy provides the foundation and direction for the organisation.	We have approved and adopted the Corporate Strategy, Business Plan 18/19 and Performance Framework 18/19 through appropriate Decision Pathways. Re-launched 'My Performance' reviews for all colleagues including annual objective setting linked to the Corporate Strategy and Business Plan 18/19. Begun scoping the business planning process for 2019/20 to iterate and improve our approach, particularly with regards to performance management and corporate prioritisation.	New	3	5	15	Good progress has been made in starting to embed the Corporate Strategy, including building full consideration of it in to the Decision Pathway. Work to continue improving business planning for next year is underway, although at an early stage. We are focussing on: Designing and launching an integrated business planning approach for 2019/20, linking financial planning, and service planning and performance management more closely and from an earlier starting point. Continuing to scope and procure a replacement for the 'My Performance' system.	4	5	20
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service	Action Owner: Acting Director: Policy and Strategy.	Portfo Govern Perfor	nance	and	ance,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Opportu	nity Risks								
			Cu	rrent	Risk el			olera isk Le	
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
OPP3: Devolution Should the potential arise for opportunities from a region's evolving second devolution deal that could lead to an opportunity to align the Councils corporate priorities and strengthen regional partnership working. Key potential causes: Potential development of second devolution deal.	We continue to engage with West England Combined Authority; but with recognition that focus has been placed more on a proposed housing fund.	New	3	5	15	We will continue to engagement with WECA at strategic level.	3	5	15
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Acting Head of Policy and Public Affairs.	Portfol Govern Perfori	nance a	and	ance,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.	•	•	

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - Opportu	nity Risks								
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance		Leve	Rating	What we are doing		olerai isk Le	Rating
OPP4: Brexit. If exiting the European Union provides benefits, such as increased domestic concentration of power, this may lead to opportunities for this to be harnessed at a local or regional level. Key potential causes for enhancing and exploiting: • Exciting the European Union.	We have: Established a city Brexit response group. Met Michel Barnier in Brussels with the Core Cities. Been monitoring the environment; including news of threats from large local employers of leaving UK. Collaborated on draft Inclusive Economic Growth Strategy. We continue to work with Core Cities and M8 leaders on concerted joint efforts.	New	1	5	2 Risk	We are monitoring the issue on an ongoing basis. A further meeting of Bristol Brexit Response Group is planned for October 2018.	1	5	2 Risk
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Acting Head of Policy and Public Affairs.	Portfol Govern Perforn	ance a	and	ince,	Strategy Theme: Our Organisation.			

Key External Risk and Civil Contingency Risks to note - Flooding and Brexit

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - External and Civil Cont	ingericy risks	_	Current Risk Level				Tolerand Risk Leve		
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
BCCC1: Flooding.									
There could be a risk of damage to properties and infrastructure as well as risk to public safety from flooding which may be caused by a tidal surge, heavy rainfall and river and groundwater flood events. Key potential causes are: Tidal surge, heavy rainfall, river and groundwater flood events. Impact of climate change. Lack of effective flood defences and preparedness for major incidents.	The Avon and Somerset Local Resilience Forum (LRF) is a partnership of all the organisations needed to prepare for an emergency in the LRF area. It includes the emergency services, health services, Maritime and Coastal Agency, Environment Agency, volunteer agencies, utility companies, transport providers and the five councils of Bath and North East Somerset, Bristol, North Somerset, Somerset and South Gloucestershire. Bristol is working with the Avon and Somerset LRF to construct new sea defences around North Somerset, Bristol and South Gloucestershire, working with emergency services, local authorities and other agencies to develop flood response plans and procedures, investigating instances of flooding, training specialist staff in swift water rescue techniques, communicating with housing and business developers to incorporate flood protection into new developments, providing guidance to members of the public about flooding, including flood warnings and what people can do to help themselves, regular maintenance and clearing programs of gullies and culverts, especially in the event of storm warnings. Bristol has in place a local Flood Risk Management Strategy approved at Cabinet in December 2017 which comprises of 5 keys areas and 43 separate actions in line with Environment Agency's national strategy.	⇔	3	5	15	There is sustained resourcing and delivery of all actions in LFRMS over life of strategy. Strategy includes the following key projects: • Working in partnership with the Environment Agency to develop a Bristol Tidal Flood Risk Management Strategy to protect the city centre, including climate change. • Working in partnership with South Gloucester and the Environment Agency to deliver a flood scheme to help protect Avonmouth Village and the Enterprise Area from tidal flooding, including climate change.	3	3	9
Risk Owner: Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration.	Action Owner: Director Transport, Flood Risk Engineer, Strategic City Transport.	Portfolio Flag: Energy, Waste and Regulatory Services. Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Em Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connections				_			

Corporate Risk Register as at August 2018 - External and Civil Continge	ency Risks								
		Current Risk Level				Tole Risk			
Risk title and description	What we have done	Performance	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	What we are doing	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating
BCCC2: Brexit.									
The risk that Brexit (and any resulting 'deal' or 'no deal') will impact the local economy, local funding and delivery of council services, and that uncertainty around Brexit could impact our ability to accurately assess or plan for potential positive or negative outcomes. Key potential causes are: Exiting the European Union. Reported lack of majority view on Government White Paper. Unprecedented and complex national / international process.	Established a city Brexit response group. Met Michel Barnier in Brussels with the core cities. Been monitoring the environment; including news of threats from large local employers of leaving UK. Collaborated on draft Inclusive Economic Growth Strategy. We continue to work with Core Cities and M8 leaders on concerted joint efforts.	\(\rightarrow\)	4	3	12	We are monitoring of the issue on an ongoing basis. A further meeting of Bristol Brexit Response Group is planned for October 2018.	4	3	12
Risk Owner: Head of Paid Service.	Action Owner: Acting Head of Policy and Public Affairs.	Portfolio Flag: Strategy Theme: Our (Strategy Theme: Our Organisation, Empov	ır Organisation, Empowering and				
		Finance, Governance Caring, Fair and Inclusive, W		Caring, Fair and Inclusive, Well Connected	Well	eing.			
		and Performance.		e.					

•		Risk Performance Summary ut by the highest risk rating first in the Q2 1	L8/19 column.	January	ter 4 – March /18	Quar April 18		July - Se	rter 2 ptember /19	Quar October - I 18/	December	January	Quarter 4 January - Marcl 18/19	
Appendix Page	Risk ID	Risk	Risk Owner	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Trave	
1	CRR1	Long Term Commercial Investments	Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration, Executive Director Resources and Section 151 Officer	4x7=28	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	1	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow					
2	CRR2	IT Infrastructure	Director Digital Transformation	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow					
3	CRR3	Asbestos Management	Head of Paid Service and CLB	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow					
4	CRR4	Corporate Health, Safety and Wellbeing	Head of Paid Service and CLB	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow					
5	CRR5	Business Continuity and Council Resilience	Acting Executive Director Communities	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow	3x7=21	↔	3x7=21	\leftrightarrow					
6	CRR6	Fraud and Corruption	Executive Director Resources and Director of Finance (Section 151 Officer)	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	⇔	3x5=15	↔					
7	CRR7	Cyber-attack threats and implications	Director Digital Transformation	3x5=15	↔	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow					
8	CRR8	Service Review	Head of Paid Service	4x5=20	\leftrightarrow	3x5=15	1	3x5=15	\leftrightarrow					
18	CRR19	Tree Management	Acting Executive Director Communities					3x5=15	New					
20	CRR21	Partnerships Governance	Head of Paid Service					3x5=15	New					
9	CRR9	Safeguarding Vulnerable Children	Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow					
10	CRR10	Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults	Executive Director, Adults, Children and Education	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	\(\)	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow					
11	CRR11	BCC Infrastructure Delivery	Director of Finance (Section 151 Officer) and Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14	+	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow					
12	CRR12	Failure to deliver suitable planning measures, respond to and manage events when they occur. (Previously Civil Contingencies and Council Resilience)	Acting Executive Director Communities	3x7=21	+	2x7=14	1	2x7=14	+					
13	CRR13	Financial Framework and MTFP	Director of Finance (Section 151 Officer)	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x5=10	1	2x5=10	\leftrightarrow					
14	CRR15	Financial Deficit	Director of Finance (Section 151 Officer)	2x5=10	\leftrightarrow	2x5=10	\leftrightarrow	2x5=10	↔					
15	CRR16	Leadership	Head of Paid Service and CLB	4x5=20	\leftrightarrow	2x5=10	1	2x5=10	\leftrightarrow					
17	CRR18	Failure to deliver 2000 Homes per annum by 2020.	Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration					2x5=10	New					
19	CRR20	General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) compliance. (Replaces CRR14)	Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO)					2x5=10	New					
16	CRR17	Strategy Management	Head of Paid Service	2x7=14	\leftrightarrow	2x7=14		1x7=7	\leftrightarrow					
	CRR14	Information Governance Introduction of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (Replaced by CRR21)	Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO)	2x7=14	⇔	2x5=10	1	Closed						

_	Corporate Risk Performance Summary for Opportunity risks The risks are set out by the highest risk rating first in the Q2 18/1			Quarter 4 January – March 17/18		Quart April - 18/	June		rter 2 ptember /19	ember October - December		Quarter 4 January - March 18/19	
Appendix Page	Risk ID	Risk		Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel
21	OPP1	One City	Head of Paid Service					3x5=15	New				
21	OPP2	Corporate Strategy	Head of Paid Service					3x5=15	New				
22	OPP3	Devolution	Head of Paid Service					3x5=15	New				
22	OPP4	Brexit	Head of Paid Service					1x5=10	New				

-	Corporate Risk Performance Summary for External and Civil Contingency risks The risks are set out by the highest risk rating first in the Q2 18/19 column.		Quart January - 17/	- March	Quart April - 18/	June	July - Se	rter 2 ptember /19	October -	rter 3 December 5/19	Quart January 18/	- March	
Appendix Page	Risk ID	Risk	Risk Owner	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel	Rating	Travel
23	BCCC1	Flooding	Interim Executive Director Growth and Regeneration			3x5=15	+	3x5=15	+				
23	BCCC2	Brexit	Head of Paid Service			4x3=12	\leftrightarrow	4x3=12	+				

Risk Scoring Matrix

	4	4	12	20	28
poor	3	3	9	15	21
Likelihood	2	2	6	10	14
	1	1	3	5	7
		1	3	5	7
			Impa	oct	

Level of risk		Action required by level risk							
28	Critical:	<u>Action required</u> . Escalate (if a Directorate level risk, escalate to the Corporate Risk Register. Escalate corporate risks to the attention of the Cabinet Lead to confirm action to be taken).							
14 - 21	High:	Must be addressed. If Directorate level consider escalating to the Corporate Risk Register. If a corporate risk consider escalating to the Cabinet Lead.							
5 - 12	Medium:	Action required, manage and monitor at the Directorate level.							
1 - 4	Low:	May not need any further action / monitor at the service level.							

<u>Current and Tolerance risk ratings:</u> The 'Current' risk rating for both threats and opportunities refers to the current level of risk taking into account any strategies to manage risk - management actions, controls and fall back plans already in place. The 'Tolerance' rating represents what is deemed to be a realistic level of risk to be achieved once additional actions have been put in place. On some occasions the aim will be to contain the level of the risk at the current level.

<u>Positive Risks (Opportunities):</u> Where the risk is an opportunity, a cost benefit analysis is required to determine whether the opportunity is worth pursuing, guided by the score for the matrix, e.g. an opportunity with a score of 28 would be pursued as it would offer considerable benefits for little risk.

LIKELIHOOD AND IMPACT RISK RATING SCORING

Likelihood Guidance

Likelihood	Likelihood Ratings 1 to 4	ood Ratings 1 to 4								
	1	2	3	4						
Description	Might happen on rare occasions.	Will possibly happen, possibly on several occasions.	Will probably happen, possibly at regular intervals.	Likely to happen, possibly frequently.						
Numerical Likelihood	Less than 10%	Less than 50%	50% or more	75% or more						

Severity of Impact Guidance (Risk to be assessed against all of the Categories, and the highest score used in the matrix).

Impact Category	Impact Levels 1 to 7			
	1	3	5	7
Service provision	Very limited effect (positive or negative) on service provision. Impact can be managed within	Noticeable and significant effect (positive or negative) on service provision.	Severe effect on service provision or a Corporate Strategic Plan priority area. Effect may require considerable additional resource	Extremely severe service disruption. Significant customer opposition. Legal action. Effect could not be managed within a reasonable time
	normal working arrangements.	Effect may require some additional resource, but manageable in a reasonable time frame.	but will not require a major strategy change.	frame or by a short term allocation of resources, and may require major strategy changes. The Council risks 'special measures'. Officer / Member forced to resign.
Communities	Minimal impact on community.	Noticeable (positive or negative) impact on the community or a more manageable impact on a smaller number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than six months.	A more severe but manageable impact (positive or negative) on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals which is not likely to last more than twelve months.	A lasting and noticeable impact on a significant number of vulnerable groups / individuals.
Environmental	No effect (positive or negative) on the natural and built environment.	Short term effect (positive or negative) on the natural and or built environment.	Serious local discharge of pollutant or source of community annoyance that requires remedial action.	Lasting effect on the natural and or built environment.
Financial Loss / Gain	Under £0.5m	Between £0.5m - £3m	Between £3m - £5m	More than £5m
Fraud & Corruption Loss	Under £50k	Between £50k - £100k	Between £100k - £1m	More than £1m
Legal	No significant legal implications or action is anticipated.	Tribunal / BCC legal team involvement required (potential for claim).	Criminal prosecution anticipated and / or civil litigation.	Criminal prosecution anticipated and or civil litigation (> 1 person).
Personal Safety	Minor injury to citizens or colleagues.	Significant injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues causing short-term disability / absence from work.	Major injury or ill health of citizens or colleagues may result in. long term disability / absence from work.	Death of citizen(s) or colleague(s). Significant long-term disability / absence from work.
Programme / Project Management (Including developing commercial enterprises)	Minor delays and/or budget overspend, but can be brought back on schedule with this project stage.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones, and/or budget overspends.	Slippage causes significant delay to delivery of key project milestones; and/or major budget overspends.	Significant issues threaten delivery of the entire project.
	No threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget and no threat to identified benefits / outcomes.	No threat to overall delivery of the project and the identified benefits / outcomes.	Major threat to delivery of the project on time and to budget, and achievement of one or more benefits / outcomes.	Could lead to project being cancelled or put on hold.
Reputation	Minimal and transient loss of public or partner trust. Contained within the individual service.	Significant public or partner interest although limited potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation.	Serious potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council.	Highly significant potential for enhancement of, or damage to, reputation and the willingness of other parties to collaborate or do business with the council.
		Dissatisfaction reported through council complaints procedure but contained within the council.	Dissatisfaction regularly reported through council complaints procedure.	Intense local, national and potentially international media attention.
		Local MP involvement. Some local media/social media interest.	Higher levels of local or national interest. Higher levels of local media / social media interest.	Viral social media or online pick-up. Public enquiry or poor external assessor report.